



POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2012-21; Order No. 1325]

International Mail Contract

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to enter into an additional Global Reseller Expedited Package contract. This document invites public comments on the request and addresses several related procedural steps.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically by accessing the “Filing Online” link in the banner at the top of the Commission’s Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>) or by directly accessing the Commission’s Filing Online system at <https://www.prc.gov/prc-pages/filing-online/login.aspx>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section as the source for case-related information for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820 (case-related information) or [DocketAdmins@prc.gov](mailto:DocketAdmins@prc.gov) (electronic filing assistance).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Table of Contents

### I. Introduction

### II. Notice of Filing

### III. Ordering Paragraphs

#### I. Introduction

On April 27, 2012, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it has entered into an additional Global Reseller Expedited Package (GREP) contract.<sup>1</sup> The Postal Service states that the instant contract is functionally equivalent to the contract filed in Docket No. CP2010-36 (GREP baseline agreement) and is supported by the Governors' Decision No. 10-1 attached to the Notice and originally filed in Docket No. CP2010-36. Id. at 1-2, Attachment 3. The Notice explains that Order No. 445, which established GREP Contracts 1 as a product, also authorized functionally equivalent agreements to be included within the product, provided that they meet the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633. Id. at 1-2.

The instant contract. The Postal Service filed the instant contract pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5. In addition, the Postal Service contends that the instant contract is in accordance with Order No. 445. Id. at 1. The Postal Service will notify the mailer of the effective date within 30 days after all

---

<sup>1</sup> Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Reseller Expedited Package Negotiated Service Agreement and Application For Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, April 27, 2012 (Notice).

necessary regulatory approvals have been received. The instant contract will remain in effect until either party terminates the agreement. It may be terminated, among other instances, upon 30 days written notification by either party. Id., Attachment 1 at 5.

In support of its Notice, the Postal Service filed four attachments as follows:

- Attachment 1—a redacted copy of the instant contract;
- Attachment 2—a certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2);
- Attachment 3—a redacted copy of Governors' Decisions No. 10-1,

which establishes prices and classifications for GREP contracts, a description of applicable GREP contracts, formulas for prices, an analysis of the formulas, and certification of the Governors' vote; and

- Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and supporting documents under seal.

The Notice sets forth reasons why the instant contract is functionally equivalent to the GREP baseline agreement. It states that the instant contract differs from the GREP baseline agreement in several ways pertaining to the revisions or clarifications of terms, e.g., additions of definitions for Express Mail International and Priority Mail International, minimum revenue commitment, revisions of prices, effective date, customs and export requirements, and periodic

review of minimum commitment. Id. at 4-6. The Postal Service states that the differences affect neither the fundamental service that it is offering nor the fundamental structure of the contract. Id. at 6-7. It asserts that “[b]ecause the agreement incorporates the same cost attributes and methodology, the relevant characteristics of this GREP contract are similar, if not the same, as the relevant characteristics of the contract filed in Docket No. CP2010-36. Id. at 4.

The Postal Service concludes that its filing demonstrates that the instant contract complies with the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633 and is functionally equivalent to the baseline GREP contract. Therefore, it requests that the instant contract be included within the GREP Contracts 1 product. Id. at 3-7.

## II. Notice of Filing

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2012-21 for consideration of matters related to the contract identified in the Postal Service’s Notice.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service’s contract is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642.

Comments are due no later than May 8, 2012. The public portions of this filing can be accessed via the Commission’s website, <http://www.prc.gov>.

The Commission appoints Natalie Rea Ward to serve as Public Representative in the captioned proceeding.

## III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2012-21 for consideration of matters raised by the Postal Service's Notice.
2. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than May 8, 2012.
3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Natalie Rea Ward is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.
4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-10765 Filed 05/03/2012 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 05/04/2012]